



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO. CR 12-02131-DUTY-2

Plaintiff,

v.

EZEQUIEL LINARES-FERNANDEZ,

ORDER OF DETENTION
AFTER HEARING

Defendant.

(18 U.S.C. § 3142(i))

I.

A. (X) On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:

1. () a crime of violence;
2. () an offense with a maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death;
3. (X) a narcotics or controlled substance offense with a maximum sentence of ten or more years;
4. () any felony - where the defendant has been convicted of two or more prior offenses described above;
5. () any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. §3142(i))

1 dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.

2 B. (X) On motion by the Government / () on Court's own motion, in a case allegedly
3 involving:

4 1. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will flee;

5 2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:

6 a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice;

7 b. () threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or attempt to
8 do so.

9 C. The Government (X) is/ () is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
0 condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
1 appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.

II.

14 A. (X) The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
15 assure:

16 1. (X) the appearance of the defendant as required.

17 (X) and/or

18 2. (X) the safety of any person or the community.

19 B. (X) The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to
20 the contrary the presumption provided by statute.

III.

23 || The Court has considered:

24 A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged:

25 B. the weight of evidence against the defendant:

26 C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and

27 D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.

1 IV.
2

3 The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments
4 and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report and recommendation.
5

6 V.
7

8 The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
9

10 A. (X) The history and characteristics of the defendant indicate a serious risk that
11 he will flee, because: the risk of flight is presumed in this case; and his
12 immigration status is unknown.
13 B. (X) The defendant poses a risk to the safety of other persons or the community
14 because of the nature and seriousness of the allegations in this presumption
15 case.
16

17 VI.
18

19 A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will:
20 1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
21 2. () attempt to/ () threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.
22

23 VII.
24

25 A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.
26 B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of
27 the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the
28 extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in
custody pending appeal.
C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable
opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on
request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections

1 facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States
2 Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

3
4 DATED: September 10, 2012

Margaret A. Nagle

5 _____
6 MARGARET A. NAGLE
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28